IJITKM 0973-4414
International Journal of IT & Knowledge Management (ISSN: 0973-4414) www.csjournals.com
Volume 11 • Issue 2 pp. 103-109 Jan 2018 - June 2018

A Recent Survey of Different Video Compression Methods

Rajwant Kaur[1], Anshu Bhasin[2]
[12] Department of Computer Sc. &Engineering
IK Gujral Punjab Technical University, Main Campus, Kapurthala, Punjab India
[1]rajwantkk1993@gmail.com, [2] dr.anshubhasin@ptu.ac.in,

Abstract: This article describes a recent new study on video compression technology. Because of the fast advancement of web innovation and PCs, the prominence of video streaming applications has developed quickly. Hence, today, putting away and transmitting uncompressed raw video requires a lot of storage and system data transfer capacity. A unique calculation that considers these attributes of video can pack video at high compression proportions. This investigation demonstrates agent endeavors in video compression and presents the highlights of H.261, H.263, MPEG-2, MPEG-4, MPEG-7, and H.264. It offers new conceivable outcomes for making better video encoders and decoders that give higher quality video streams at a kept up bit rate.

Introduction

Computerized video communication is a quickly creating field, particularly with the advance of video coding innovation. This advance has prompted countless applications, for example, video conferencing, and multimedia ongoing video transmission. With the coming of multimedia processing, the interest for these Videos has expanded, their original forms of storage and activity have been exceptionally costly, and it has essentially expanded transmission time and made storage costly [1].Since most observation applications don't impart the system to other information serious applications much of the time, the information transmission of uncompressed video over computerized systems requires high transfer speed [2]. To evade this issue, a progression of systems called video compression methods have been acquainted with diminish the quantity of bits required to speak to computerized video information while keeping up adequate constancy or video quality. Their capacity to play out this undertaking is evaluated by the pressure proportion. Higher the compression proportion, the littler the bandwidth utilization.Data compression is possible because the image data is highly dense and contains a lot of redundancy. It can eliminate redundancy by performing some kind of transformation and use the reversible linear phase to de-correlate the pixels of the image data [3].

Keeping in mind the end goal to comprehend the video format, you have to comprehend the attributes of the video and how to utilize these highlights to characterize the Format. Video is a sequence of pictures that are shown all together. Every one of these pictures is known as frame. Since we can't see the slight changes between the Frames like the slight contrasts in shading, the Video compression standard does not encode every one of the points of interest in the video; a few subtle elements have really been lost [4]. This is called lossy compression. With lossy compression, a high compression proportion can be accomplished. Some compression methods are reversible or non-dangerous [5]. Ensure the decompressed picture is the same as the first picture. This is an essential prerequisite for applications that require high quality. This is called lossless compression [6]. On the off chance that the tree is shown for one moment, the tree utilizes 30 outlines. This data can be utilized for compression, and frames can be characterized in view of past frames.

The frame might be compressed utilizing just data in the frame (intra) or utilizing data in different frames (intra). Intra-coding permits arbitrary access tasks, for example, quick sending and fault tolerance. If part of the frame is missing, the following intra frame and the accompanying frames can be shown in light of the fact that they just rely upon the intra frame. Each color can be spoken to as a blend of red, green, and blue. Pictures can likewise be represented in this color space. Be that as it may, this color space called RGB isn't suitable for compression since it doesn't think about human recognition.

With a specific end goal to acquire a superior compression proportion, pixels are anticipated in light of different pixels. In spatial domain, pixels can be acquired from pixels of a similar picture in temporal expectation; pixel expectations are gotten from already transmitted pictures. In the event that the expectation in the time measurement with the fitting decorrelation strategy in the spatial domain is utilized, hybrid coding is applied. Movement remuneration builds up the correspondence between close-by picture components in a video succession. The fundamental utilization of motion compensation is to give valuable forecasts to a given picture from a reference picture.

All standardized video coding calculations utilize DCT (Discrete Cosine Transform). DCT is generally done on each 8x8 square [7]. When performing DCT, the coefficient in the upper left corner is the most elevated and the lower right corner is the least, so the compression is less demanding [8]. The coefficients are numbered in a crisscross request from the upper left corner to the lower right corner so that there are numerous little coefficients toward the end. The DCT coefficients are then partitioned by number quantizers to diminish exactness. After this division, in the event that they are substantially littler than quantization, the lower coefficients might be lost.

Video Compression Techniques

At the point when used to transmit multimedia transmissions, the video stream contains a lot of information, requiring a great deal of transfer speed and ensuing storage space. Because of the large bandwidth and capacity prerequisites, video is compressed to lessen its storage or transmission limit. This innovation can diminish redundancy in space and time. By specifically disposing of a quarter or a greater amount of the unneeded segments of the first information in the frame, the space diminishment physically decreases the extent of the video information. Time decrease, between outline incremental compression or movement compression altogether diminishes the measure of information required to store video frames by encoding just pixels that change between sequential frames in the grouping. A few critical guidelines, for example, the Moving Picture Experts Group (MPEG) standard, the H.261, 263 and 264 principles, are the most generally utilized procedures for video compression.

H.261: It was produced ITU in 1990 for the H.261 standard with an information rate of 64 Kbps. The H.261 standard uses movement compensation time forecast. It supports two resolutions, the Common Interface Format with a frame size of 352x288 and the Quarter CIF with a frame size of 172x144 [9]. The encoding calculation is the accompanying blend:

Inter-picture prediction: It evacuates time-redundant transform coding, expels spatially repetitive movement compensation and uses movement vectors for compensation. A macroblock is a fundamental unit of time encoding used to represent to a 16x16 pixel zone. Each macroblock is encoded utilizing intra (I-coded) or prescient) P-coding. Movement expectation utilizes just the past picture to limit inertness [10].

H.263: It was created by the International Telecommunications Union (ITU) in 1996. It utilizes a coding calculation called a test model (TMN), which is like the one utilized by H.261, yet with enhanced execution and error recovery, consequently expanding effectiveness. It is streamlined for low piece rate encoding [11]. H.263 gives an indistinguishable quality from H.261 however with a large portion of the quantity of bits. The block motion compensation is utilized to encode each photo into macroblocks [12]. The capacity of H.263 is upgraded by the accompanying highlights: bidirectionally encoded B-outlines, movement compensation of covering hinders on 8x8 squares, rather than 16x16 macroblocks, unrestricted movement vector extend outside the photo limit, math coding and partial pixel movement compensation, Vector precision [13].H.263 resembles H.261, isn't reasonable for utilization as a rule computerized video coding. In any case, H.261 and 263 are somewhat opposing since they both do not have a portion of the further developed strategies to truly give effective data transfer capacity utilize [14].

H.263+: It is an augmentation of H.263 with higher productivity, enhanced error versatility and lessened delay. It permits debatable extra modes, spatial and temporal adaptability [11].

MPEG-1: The main open standard for the MPEG panel was the MPEG-1. MPEG-1 was affirmed in November 1991 and its initial segments were discharged in 1993. It has no immediate arrangement for joined video applications. MPEG frames are encoded in three following diverse ways:

- **Intra-coded (I-frames):** They are encoded like discrete frames (still edges), free of neighboring frames.
- **Predictive-coded (P-frames):** They are encoded with the help of prediction from a past I-frame or P-frame, bringing about a superior compression proportion (littler frame).
- **Bi-directional-predictive-coded (B-frame):** They are also encoded with the help of prediction by utilizing a past and a future frame of it is possible that I or P-frames; offer the higher degree of compression.

MPEG-1 decoding should be possible progressively utilizing a 350 MHz Pentium processor. It is additionally reasonable for playback from CD-ROM [15].

MPEG-2: The MPEG-2 venture was affirmed in November 1994, and its concentration is to expand the compression innovation of MPEG-1 to cover bigger pictures and higher quality while giving up higher bandwidth use. MPEG-2 is intended for advanced transmission applications, for example, computerized top quality TV (HDTV), intelligent capacity media (ISM) and digital TV (CATV), which commonly expect 4 to 15 Mbps (up to 100 Mbps). Profiles and levels were presented in MPEG-2. This profile characterizes bitstream versatility and shading space determination. With adaptability, bring down bitstreams can be separated for bring down determination or frame rate. This level characterizes the picture determination, Y (brilliance) tests/sec, the quantity of video and sound layers of the versatile profile, and the most extreme piece rate per profile. MPEG similarity incorporates upwards (interpreting from bring down resolutions), downwards (disentangling from higher resolutions), forward (from past age codecs) and in reverse (from cutting edge codecs). MPEG-2 input information is interleaved, making it perfect with intertwined TV check designs.

MPEG-4: It was affirmed in October 1998. It underpins multimedia in low piece rate arranges and enables clients to collaborate with objects. Articles speak to sound-related, visual or varying media content and can be integrated as intelligent graphical applications or as characteristic as advanced TV. Media items can be found anyplace in the organize framework. Streaming media information can be connected to media items to alter their properties [16].

The MPEG-4 compression strategy is utilized for surface mapping in 2D and 3D networks, time-differing stream compression and calculations for spatial, temporal and quality adaptability, pictures and video. Video transmission over heterogeneous systems expects adaptability to enable the beneficiary to get full-determination show. MPEG-4 gives high coding effectiveness to sound video information stockpiling and transmission at a low piece rate. Around 5-64 Kbps for versatile or PSTN video applications, and around 2 Mbps for TV/motion picture applications [17].

MPEG-7: It was endorsed in July 2001 [18] to standardize a dialect to indicate depiction plans. With MPEG-7, the content of the video is portrayed and connected with the content itself, for instance to permit quick and effective seeking in the material.

To store metadata, the MPEG-7 utilizes XML and it can be appended to a time-code keeping in mind the end goal to label specific occasions in a stream. Despite the fact that, MPEG-7 is free of the genuine encoding procedure of the multimedia, the portrayal that is characterized inside MPEG-4, i.e., the portrayal of varying media information regarding objects, is extremely appropriate to the MPEG-7 standard. The MPEG-7 is important for video reconnaissance since it could be utilized for instance to label the substance and occasions of video streams for more smart handling in video administration programming or video examination applications [19].

H.264/AVC: In mid 1998, the VCEG ITU-T propelled a proposition require the H.26L venture. The objective is to contrast the coding productivity and some other coding standard for different applications. The MPEG and VCEG have built up another and outstanding standard that is required to outperform the before MPEG-4 and H.263 standards. Despite the fact that the primary draft of the new standard was passed in October 1999, it gave the most recent harmony between coding effectiveness, cost, and usage multifaceted nature. It has been concluded by the Joint Video Team (JVT) as a draft new coding standard for formal endorsement, called H.264/AVC, and was affirmed by the ITU-T in March 2003 (otherwise called the MPEG-4 segment) [20].The standard is additionally intended to have shorter defer times, better quality, and longer postpone times. Moreover, every one of these enhancements are accomplished without expanding outline unpredictability contrasted with past standards, so Practically or extravagantly to manufacture applications and frameworks, another objective is to give enough adaptability to enable the standard to apply to an assortment of utilizations: low and high piece rates, low and high-determination video, and high and low idleness Requirements [21].

Theoretical Study of Different Video Compression Methods:

The video compression standard offers numerous favorable circumstances, the most imperative of which is guaranteeing interoperability, or correspondence amongst encoders and decoders made by various individuals or diverse organizations. Along these lines, standards bring down the hazard for purchasers and producers, which can be acknowledged and utilized all the more rapidly. Likewise, these standards apply to a wide assortment of utilizations, and the subsequent economies of scale can decrease costs and further broad utilize. The notable arrangement of video compression standards are displayed in tables (present and rising video compression standards) under the support of the International Telecommunication Union (ITU-T, beforehand known as the CCITT), the ISO and the MPEG set up by the International Organization for Standardization in 1988 to create standards for compressing videoand related sound on computerized storage media.

Categories of Methods	**Paper Name**	**Author Name**	**Year**	**Brief Description**	**Advantages**
H.261	Comparison of the H.263 and H.261 video compression standards	Girod, B.	1995	In this paper, H.261 standard for information rates which are products of 64 Kbps. H.261 standard uses movement compensated temporal prediction.	H.261 supports two resolutions, in particular, Common Interface Format (CIF) with frame size of 352x288 and quarter CIF (QCIF) with frame size of 172x144.
MPEG-2	MPEG-2 video compression	Tudor, P. N	1995	This paper presents the standards utilized for compressing video as per the MPEG-2 standard, traces the general structure of a video coder and decoder, and portrays the subsets ('profiles') of the toolbox and the arrangements of imperatives on parameter esteems ('levels') characterized to date.	MPEG-2 is coordinated at communicated groups at higher information rates; it gives additional algorithmic 'apparatuses' for effectively coding entwined video, underpins an extensive variety of bit rates and accommodates multichannel encompass sound coding.
H .263	Comparison of H.263 and H.26L video compression performance with web-cams	Nilsson, M.	2003	It utilizes an encoding calculation called test model (TMN), which is like that utilized by H.261 yet with enhanced execution and mistake recuperation prompting higher proficiency.	H .263 is streamlined for coding at low piece rates.

MPEG-4	MPEG-4 compression artifacts removal on color video sequences using 3D nonlinear diffusion	Bourdon, P	2004	In this paper, The creator proposes an incomplete differential condition based (PDE) strategy to decrease compression-related ancient rarities on MPEG-4 color picture successions.	The principle favorable position of our approach is that both temporal and spatial antiquities expulsion are performed in the meantime, by considering a picture succession as a 3D object.
H.264	Video compression techniques 1834-1840/2010	Ostermann, J.	2004	This paper gives a review of the new devices, highlights and many-sided quality of H.264/AVC. H.264/AVC gives picks up in compression proficiency of up to half finished an extensive variety of bit rates and video resolutions contrasted with past standards.	Contrasted with past benchmarks, the decoder unpredictability is around four times that of MPEG-2 and two times that of MPEG-4 Visual Simple Profile.
Discreet Cosine Transform	A Comparative study of different video compression technique	Saponara, S.	2009	In this paper, creator proposed approach which keeps an eye on hard adventure the relevant temporal repetition in the video frames to enhance compression proficiency with least handling many-sided quality.	The decorrelation of the subsequent pictures by the DCT makes effective vitality compaction, and hence delivers a high video compression proportion.
MPEG-7	MPEG-7 Descriptors Based Shot Detection and Adaptive Initial Quantization Parameter Estimation for the H.264/AVC	Yang, M.	2009	Creator propose a versatile starting quantization parameter (QP) estimation strategy for each shot in light of displaying, preparing as indicated by the content of video successions and the shot recognition technique for our past advance. This two-step engineering can enable us to lessen the bit rate and PSNR change when video groupings have different shots	This technique outflanks the rate control of the H.264/AVC altogether as far as lessening the normal piece rate change (difference) by 8.6%-99% and the normal PSNR vacillation (fluctuation) by 5%-99% between shots.

Intra Prediction	Combined Intra-Prediction for High-Efficiency Video Coding	Gabriellini	2011	In this paper, the proposed device tends to both the rate-distortion execution upgrade and additionally low-many-sided quality prerequisites that are forced on codecs for focused high-determination content. The novel point of view CIP offers is that of abusing redundancy between neighboring blocks as well as inside a coding blocks.	The CIP can be adaptably displayed to help different coding settings, giving a pick up of up to 4.5% YUV BD-rate for the video arrangements in the testing High-Efficiency Video Coding Test Model.
Quantization	Video compression based on hybrid transform and quantization with Huffman coding for video codec	Bernatin	2014	Creator utilizes the movement vectors, found from estimation utilizing versatile rood design look and is repaid internationally. The half breed DWT-DCT change abuses the properties of both the DWT and DCT strategies and gives a superior compression.	The calculation accomplishes the extent of the compacted frame sparing by around 98% in its storage space.
Discreet Wavelet Transform	Video compression using DWT algorithm implementing on FPGA	Joshi, G. P	2017	In this paper, framework has been produced utilizing Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) calculation, MATLAB, XILINX stage and FPGA SPARTEN 3 board.	This technique empowers memory sparing alongside expanding sign to noise proportion, and the general execution of the framework is computed.
Inter Prediction	An Improved Inter-Frame Prediction Algorithm for Video Coding Based on Fractal and H.264	Zhu	2017	In this paper, this investigation proposes an enhanced entomb expectation calculation for video coding in light of fractal hypothesis and H.264. This investigation adopts a similar strategy to make intra expectations as H.264 and this examination receive the fractal hypothesis to make inter predictions.	Correlation between the enhanced calculation, the first fractal pack calculation and JM19.0 affirms a marginally increment in Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio, a critical abatement in bit rate while the time devoured for compression stays under 60% of that utilizing JM19.0.

MPEG-1 and 2 are utilized for communication and CD-ROM applications, however not for the Internet. MPEG-4 is appropriate for low piece rate applications, for example, video conferencing in light of the fact that it gives high coding productivity to storage and transmission. MPEG-4 application incorporates Internet multimedia, intelligent video, video conferencing, video communication, remote multimedia, and database benefits on ATM systems. H.263 and MPEG-4 are utilized for low transfer speed video transmission. With a specific end goal to meet the high data transmission necessities of the Internet, the code must have high transfer speed adaptability; bring down multifaceted nature and resilience for misfortune, and lower intuitive application idleness. MPEG-7 takes care of this issue since it can address the issues of constant and non-continuous applications and can recover multimedia information documents from the Internet. In the event that the accessible system data transfer capacity is restricted, or on the off chance that you need to record video at a high edge rate and there are storage space impediments, MPEG might be favored. It gives higher picture quality at a lower bit rate (data transmission utilization). In spite of this, the cost of lower transfer speed prerequisites is more perplexing coding and interpreting, which thusly prompts higher inertness contrasted with movement.

H.264/AVC is presently a broadly received standard. It speaks to ITU, and ISO and IEC are the first to blend universal video compression measures. H.264 has critical changes in coding productivity, dormancy, multifaceted nature, and strength. It offers new potential outcomes for making better video encoders and decoders to keep bitrates to give higher quality video streams (contrasted with past measures) or, on the other hand, to give the same at bring down bitrates Quality video. The table demonstrates an examination of the real coding instruments in MPEG-2, MPEG-4 Part 2 and H.264/AVC.

Conclusion:

Video compression is winding up more well known in light of the fact that storage and system transmission capacity necessities can be decreased by compression. Numerous video compression calculations planned with various objectives have been proposed. This investigation clarifies the institutionalization of video compression. The fundamental target of continuous versatile video coding research is to accomplish high compression productivity, high adaptability (transmission capacity adaptability), and additionally low multifaceted nature. Because of the clashing idea of effectiveness, adaptability, and intricacy, each versatile video coding arrangement tries to adjust these three components. Video benefit creators need to choose the suitable adaptable video coding plan to meet target proficiency and adaptability with sensible cost and multifaceted nature.

References:

[1]. Abomhara, M., O. Zakaria, O.O. Khalifa, A.A. Zaidan and B.B. Zaidan, 2010. Enhancing selective encryption for H.264/AVC using advance encryption standard. Int. J. Comput. Electr. Eng., 2: 1793-8201.

[2]. Ali, S.T., 1999. Video compression: MPEG-4 and beyond. Retrived Feb 15, 2009 from http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cis788-99/compression/.

[3]. Ashraf, G. and M.N. Chong, 1997. Performance analysis of H.261 and H.263 video coding algorithms. Proceedings of the 1997 IEEE International Symposium on Consu mer Electronics, Dec. 2-4, Sch. of Applied Science, Nanyang Technology Institute, pp: 153-156.

[4]. Avaro, O. and P. Salembier, 2001. MPEG-7 systems: Overview. IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., 11: 760-764.

[5]. Berna, E., G. Michael, C. Guy and K. Faouzi, 1998. The H.263+ video coding standard: Complexity and performance. Proceedings of the Data Compression Conference, March 30-April 01, University of British Columbia, pp: 259-268.

[6]. Chang, S.F., T. Sikora and A, Purl, 2001. Overview of the MPEG-7 standard. IEEE. Trans. Circ. Syst. Video Technol., 11: 688-695.

[7]. Choi, M.H., H.J. Park, J.M. Han, D.U. Jeong and S.K. Park, 1998. A study on the integration and synchronization of video image using H.261 in polysomnography. Eng. Med. Biol. Soc., Proc. 20th Ann. Int. Con. IEEE, 4: 1960-1963.

[8]. Girod, B., E. Steinbach, N. Forber, E. Steinbach and N. Farber, 1995. Comparison of the H.263 and H.261 video compression standards. SPIE Proc. Standards Common Interfaces Video Inform. Syst., CR60: 233-251.

[9]. Haseeb, S. and O.O. Khalifa, 2006. Comparative performance analysis of image compression by JPEG 2000: A case study on medical images. Inform. Technol. J., 5: 35-39.

[10]. ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11 N4668, 2002. Overview of the MPEG-4 standard. http://www.chiariglione.org/mpeg/standards/mpeg-4/mpeg-4.htm.

[11]. Jane, H., W. Varuni and A. Mark, 1997. A review of video streaming over the internet. DSTC Technical Report TR97-10, Retrevied Feb 20, 2009 from http://www.itee.uq.edu.au/~jane/jane-hunter/video-streaming.html.

[12]. Jeremiah, G., 2004. Comparing media codecs for video content. Proceedings of the Embedded Systems Conference, (ESC`04), San Francisco, pp: 1-18.

[13]. Jian-Wen, C., K. Chao-Yang and L. Youn-Long, 2006. Introduction to H.264 advanced video coding. Proceedings of the Asia and South Pacific Conference on Design Automation, Jan. 24-27, IEEE Press Piscataway, New Jersey, USA., pp: 736-741.

[14]. Khalifa, O.O. and S.S. Dlay, 1998. Wavelets image data compression. Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics, July 07, South Africa, pp: 407-410.

[15]. Khalifa, O.O. and S.S. Dlay, 1999. Medical image lossless compression for transmission of cross limited bandwidth channels. Proc. SPIE., 3662: 342-348.

[16]. Khalifa, O.O., 2003. Image data compression in wavelet transform domain using modified LBG algorithm. ACM Int. Conf. Proc. Ser., 49: 88-93.

[17]. Marcel, A., H.S. Cornelis and J.B. Ferderik, 1997. Low-bitrate video coding based upon geometric transformations. Proceeding of the pro RISC Work on Circuits, System and Signal Processing, 20 May 1998, South Africa, pp: 561-568.

[18]. Martinez, J.M., 2002. Standards - MPEG-7 overview of MPEG-7 description tools, part 2. IEEE Multimedia, 9: 83-93.

[19]. Morris, O., 1995. MPEG-2: where did it come from and what is it?. Proceedings of the IEE Colloquium on MPEG-2- What it is and What it isn`t, Jan. 24, London, pp: 1-5.

[20]. Nemcic, O., M. Vranjes and S. Rimac-Drlje, 2007. Comparison of H.264/AVC and MPEG-4 Part 2 coded video. Proceedings of the 49th International Symposium, (IS'07), ELMAR., pp: 41-44.

[21]. Nilsson, M. and M. Naylor, 2003. Comparison of H.263 and H.26L video compression performance with web-cams. Electronics Lett., 39: 277-278.

[22]. Saponara, S. (2012). Real-time and low-power processing of 3D direct/inverse discrete cosine transform for low-complexity video codec. *Journal of Real-Time Image Processing*, *7*(1), 43-53.

[23]. Joshi, G. P., & Bhosale, N. P. (2017, February). Video compression using DWT algorithm implementing on FPGA. In *Data Management, Analytics and Innovation (ICDMAI), 2017 International Conference on* (pp. 31-35). IEEE.

[24]. Gabriellini, A., Flynn, D., Mrak, M., & Davies, T. (2011). Combined intra-prediction for high-efficiency video coding. *IEEE Journal of selected topics in Signal Processing*, *5*(7), 1282-1289.

[25]. Zhu, S., Zhang, S., & Ran, C. (2017). An Improved Inter-Frame Prediction Algorithm for Video Coding Based on Fractal and H. 264. *IEEE Access*, *5*, 18715-18724.

[26]. Bernatin, T., &Sundari, G. (2014, July). Video compression based on Hybrid transform and quantization with Huffman coding for video codec. In *Control, Instrumentation, Communication and Computational Technologies (ICCICCT), 2014 International Conference on* (pp. 452-456). IEEE.

[27]. Tudor, P. N. (1995). MPEG-2 video compression. *Electronics & communication engineering journal*, *7*(6), 257-264.

[28]. Bourdon, P., Augereau, B., Olivier, C., &Chatellier, C. (2004, May). MPEG-4 compression artifacts removal on color video sequences using 3D nonlinear diffusion. In *Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 2004. Proceedings. (ICASSP'04). IEEE International Conference on* (Vol. 3, pp. iii-729). IEEE.

[29]. Ostermann, J., Bormans, J., List, P., Marpe, D., Narroschke, M., Pereira, F., &Wedi, T. (2004). Video coding with H. 264/AVC: tools, performance, and complexity. *IEEE Circuits and Systems magazine*, *4*(1), 7-28.

[30]. Girod, B., E. Steinbach, N. Farber, E. Steinbach and N. Farber, 1995. Comparison of the H.263 and H.261 video compression standards. SPIE Proc. Standards Common Interfaces Video Inform. Syst., CR60: 233-251.

[31]. Yang, M., Serrano, J. C., &Grecos, C. (2009). MPEG-7 descriptors based shot detection and adaptive initial quantization parameter estimation for the H. 264/AVC. IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting, 55(2), 165-177.

[32]. Nilsson, M. and M. Naylor, 2003. Comparison of H.263 and H.26L video compression performance with web-cams. Electronics Lett. 39: 277-278.